

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,911	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Albert Hilton	1792	

All Participants:

(1) Albert Hilton.

(2) Eliott Malamud (Applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 16 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION ENTERED AND FORWARDED TO EXAMINER

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 p

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1, 7, 15.

Claims discussed:

1,7,15.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to change the word "slider" to "linear slider" in claims 1, 7, and 15 to amend around the prior art.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)